

## Corrections That Appeared in Volume 2 (1963)

In the paper by Jacob Lebowitz and Michael Laskowski, Jr., in Volume 1, No. 6, November, 1962, on page 1047 equation (7) should read  $X = \bar{q} \alpha_{\text{STI}}$  not  $X = \alpha_{\text{STI}}$ .

In the paper by I. H. Fine, N. O. Kaplan, and D. Kuftinec, Volume 2, No. 1, January 1963, in the paragraph on page 120 headed "*Nature of the Human Embryonic Lactic Dehydrogenases*," the next to the last sentence should read "The first indication of the M type is the appearance of the hybrid  $M_1H_3$ " (not " $M_3H_1$ ").

In the paper by Earl W. Davie and Antero G. So, in Volume 2, No. 1, January 1963, on page 132, in the paragraph headed "*Saccharomyces fragilis* . . ." line 9 should read: ". . . had reached a level of about 10-12 as measured at 490. . . ."

In the paper by R. V. Tomlinson and G. M. Tener, in Volume 2, No. 4, July-August, 1963, on p. 699, Table I, the heading of the fourth column should read: Total Phosphorus/Monoesterase Phosphorus.

In the paper by Elizabeth Bautz Freese and Ernst Freese, in Volume 2, No. 4, July-August, 1963, the note added in proof should be inserted at the bottom of column 1, page 713. Essentially the same conclusion pertaining to the mode of uncoiling of DNA, derived independently, has been published recently by M. Fixman (1963), *J. Mol. Biol.* 6, 39.

In the paper by Mildred Cohn and A. S. Mildvan, in Volume 2, No. 5, September-October, 1963, on pages 912-915 only, footnote references in text are incorrect: 2 should read 3, 3 should read 4, and 4 should read 5.

### Correction notices from Vol. 3, nos. 1 and 2, January and February, 1964

In the paper by Jean-Pierre Bargetzi, K. S. V. Sampath Kumar, David J. Cox, Kenneth A. Walsh, and Hans Neurath, in Volume 2, No. 6, November-December, 1963, on p. 1468, a portion of footnote 1 was inadvertently placed in the body of the text. The section Experimental Procedure should directly follow the sentence of the introduction that contains footnote 1, namely, "The amino acid composition of this enzyme (carboxypeptidase  $A_a$ ) has been compared with those of carboxypeptidase A prepared by the method of Anson (1937) and Allan *et al.* (1964), respectively." Footnote 1 should therefore read as follows: "Bovine pancreatic carboxypeptidase A, prepared by the various methods of isolation, comprises several different chemical species of the enzyme. The following differences have been characterized (Bargetzi *et al.*,

1964; Sampath Kumar *et al.*, 1963):

- $A_\alpha$  Amino terminal alanine (prepared according to Cox *et al.*, 1964).
- $A_\beta$  Amino terminal serine (occurs in variable yield in all preparations).
- $A_\gamma$  Amino terminal asparagine (occurs as major component in preparation according to Anson, 1937). In contrast of  $A_\delta$ , the apoenzyme of this chemical species cannot be fully reactivated by zinc (Vallee *et al.*, 1960).
- $A_\delta$  Amino terminal asparagine (occurs as major component in preparations according to Allan *et al.*, 1964). The apoenzyme of this chemical species can be fully reactivated by zinc (Vallee *et al.*, 1960)."

In the paper by R. W. Chambers, V. Kurkov, and R. Shapiro, in Volume 2, No. 6, November-December, 1963, on p. 1198, column 2, line 4, "blue-green" should read "red-brown."